

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/786,722	02/25/2004	Michiel J. van Nieuwstadt	81098275	2751		
22844	7590 10/05/2005	EXAMINER				
	BAL TECHNOLOGII PARKLANE TOWERS	•	NGUYEN,	NGUYEN, TU MINH		
ONE PARKL						
DEARBORN,	MI 48126		3748			

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					1 .		
		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/786,	722	VAN NIEUWSTAD	OT ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit			
		Tu M. N	guyen	3748	<u></u>		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on t	he cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	dress		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community of period for reply is specified above, the maximum status to reply within the set or extended period for reply we reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF T f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no en nication. utory period will apply and fill, by statute, cause the ap	THIS COMMUNICATIO event, however, may a reply be ti will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from oplication to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this co ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
• •	Since this application is in condition for	o)⊠ This action is or allowance excep	ot for formal matters, pr		e merits is		
	closed in accordance with the practice	e under <i>Ex parte</i> G	<i>uayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5) [6) [7) [4)						
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.					
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		o) objected to by the	Examiner.	•		
	Applicant may not request that any object	ion to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to The oath or declaration is objected to	•	- · ·				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				/		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	it(s)						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	0.049)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D		•		
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P er No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		D-152)		

DETAILED ACTION

1. An Applicant's Amendment filed on July 14, 2005 has been entered. Claim 18 has been canceled. Claims 19-29 have been added and are pending in this application.

Election/Restrictions

2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: the species of Figure 3A (mixture contacting a surface of heating element) and the species of Figure 3B (outlet area of mixing device is larger than that of the mixing unit).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 21-28 appear to be generic. Claims 19-20 appear to read on the species of Figure 3B. And claim 29 appears to read on the species of Figure 3A.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

3. A telephone call was made to Ms. Julia Voutyras on October 3, 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/786,722

Art Unit: 3748

Page 4

Communication

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Tu Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4862.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Thomas E. Denion, can be reached on (571) 272-4859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TMN

October 3, 2005

Tu M. Nguyen

Tu M. Nguyen

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3748